```
BEFORE THE
I
                       POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
                             STATE OF WASHINGTON
2
   IN THE MATTER OF
3
   HOMER FAIRFIELD,
   d.b.a. CASA DEL REY APARTMENTS,
                                                    PCHB No. 804
                         Appellant,
5
                                               FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
6
              ν.
                                            CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
7
   PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION
   CONTROL AGENCY,
3
                        Respondent.
9
```

This matter, the appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Chris Smith, presiding officer, and Walt Woodward) at a formal hearing in the Seattle facility of the State Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals on September 4, 1975.

The Board waited for one-half hour after the scheduled time for the hearing but appellant did not appear. Respondent appeared through Keith D. McGoffin. Eugene E. Barker, Olympia court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A witness was sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

From testimony heard, exhibits examined, and respondent's exceptions noted, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent, pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260, has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

II.

Section 9.03(a) of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission for more than three minutes in any one hour of an air contaminant darker in shade than No. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart. Section 3.29 authorizes respondent to levy a civil penalty of not more than \$250 for any violation of Regulation I.

III.

On January 13, 1975, there was emitted for six consecutive minutes from the stack of appellant's Casa Del Rey Apartments, 321 Broadway East, Seattle, King County, smoke equivalent in shade to No. 4 on the Ringelmann Chart.

IV.

The emission was observed by an inspector on respondent's staff. In connection therewith, respondent served on appellant Notice of Violation No. 10347, citing Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I, and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1883 in the sum of \$50, which is the subject of this appeal.

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER **∠**6

∠6

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter stated which is deemed to be a Finding of Fact is adopted herewith as same.

From these facts, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Appellant was in violation of respondent's Regulation I as cited in Notice of Violation No. 10347.

II.

In appellant's Notice of Appeal there are unverified assertions which cite grounds for possible mitigation of the penalty (new owner taking steps to correct the smoking boiler). In a proposed Order dated September 18, 1975, this Board employed those assertions in a suspension of the penalty.

However, respondent's Exceptions to that proposed Order correctly point out that material contained in a Notice of Appeal cannot be considered as evidence in a formal hearing unless that material is established through sworn testimony.

Appellant, not appearing at the hearing, offered no testimony.

In view of respondent's Exceptions and hearing nothing from appellant, this Board has no course other than to disregard the assertions in the Notice of Appeal. Any grounds for mitigation, therefore, are gone. We are left with the conclusion that the penalty, being one-fifth of the maximum allowable amount, is reasonable.

Appellant, no doubt, will be displeased with this reversal of the Board's proposed Order. There is, however, another side to the coin.

From this Board's docket file in this matter, these are the details:

In response to a written request from appellant, this Board scheduled an informal conference in Seattle on May 23, 1975. Two Board members, as well as the attorney for and other personnel of respondent were present. Appellant did not appear.

Under date of June 26, 1975, this Board notified appellant that the date of hearing would be selected from an accompanying list of available dates (including September 4, 1975) on July 1, 1975. Appellant offered no objection to any of the available dates. September 4, 1975, was selected and this Board, under date of July 9, 1975, so notified appellant in writing.

On September 4, 1975, at the appointed place in Seattle, two Board members, a court reporter, respondent's attorney and at least one witness on respondent's staff were assembled to hear this matter.

Appellant did not appear. The docket file disclosed no effort by appellant, either in writing or by telephone, to seek a continuance.

After a delay of 30 minutes for appellant to appear, the hearing was held in his absence.

Both on May 23, 1975 and September 4, 1975, taxpayer funds were spent fruitlessly to afford appellant his requested right to activate his appeal. This Board, having done everything in its power to give appellant his "day in court," now must revise its proposed Order and sustain the penalty.

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

It does so with the gratuitous comment that if appellant had kept either one of his dates with this Board he now probably would not be subject to paying the civil penalty. IV. Any Finding of Fact herein which is deemed to be a Conclusion of Law is adopted herewith as same. Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this FINAL ORDER The appeal is denied and Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1883 is sustained in the amount of \$50. DONE at Lacey, Washington this 6th day of Gelober POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1	CERTIFICATION OF MAILING
2	I, Dolories Osland, certify that I deposited in the United States
3	mail, copies of the foregoing document on the day of
4	October , 1975, to each of the following-named parties,
5	at the last known post office addresses, with the proper postage affixed
6	to the respective envelopes:
7	Mr. Homer Fairfield d.b.a. Casa Del Rey Apts.
8	31678 Military Road S. Auburn, Washington 98002
9	Mr. Keith D. McGoffin
10	Burkey, Marsico, Rovai, McGoffin, Turner and Mason
11	P. O. Box 5217 Tacoma, Washington 98405
12	Puget Sound Air Pollution
13	Control Agency 410 West Harrison Street
14	Seattle, Washington 98119
15	Dolores Osland
16	DOLORIES OSLAND, Clerk of the POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
17	· ·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 6